

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,627	07/05/2001	Jong-won Lee	8021-55 (SS-14743-US)	5141
. 7.	590 05/14/2003			
Frank Chau F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP Suite 501			EXAMINER	
			GUERRERO, MARIA F	
1900 Hempstead Turnpike East Meadow, NY 11554			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	rin Britompsk
				DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/899,627	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maria Guerrero	2822			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 D	<u> 0ecember 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-26</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	ala alian na maina mana				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep	ted or b) \square objected to by the Exar	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed December 16, 2002.

Claims 1-11 and 27 are canceled.

Claims 12-26 are pending.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 12-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for forming a barrier layer along a stepped portion over the surface of an interdielectric layer having a recessed region, and forming a copper seed layer on the barrier layer (page 6, lines 20-30, page 7, lines 3-5), does not reasonably provide enablement for exposing the barrier layer until exposing the surface of the interdielectric layer by chemical mechanical polishing using a solution comprising an oxidizing agent, a pH controlling agent, a chelate reagent, and deionized water so that the copper seed layer remains only within the recessed region. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. It is

Application/Control Number: 09/899,627 Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

considered that essential information is missing from the specification about how a person of ordinary skill in the art could develop the step of "exposing the barrier layer until exposing the surface of the interdielectric layer by chemical mechanical polishing so that the copper seed layer remains only within the recessed region". The missing information is needed to provide enablement because it would require undue experimentation to determine how the polishing process would be applied. See MPEP § 2164.06 (a).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed December 16, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 12-26 stand rejected. The Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant argued that the exposing of the barrier layer until exposing the surface of the interdielectric layer is explained in the specification and one skilled in the art would be able to use the solution as claimed and to arrive at the features as claimed in claim 13 without undue experimentation. However, it is considered that essential information is missing from the specification about how a person of ordinary skill in the art could develop the step of "exposing the barrier layer until exposing the surface of the interdielectric layer by chemical mechanical polishing so that the copper seed layer remains only within the recessed region". The missing information is needed to provide enablement because it would require undue experimentation to determine how the polishing process would be applied. See MPEP § 2164.06 (a).

Application/Control Number: 09/899,627

Art Unit: 2822

Furthermore, the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112 is not satisfied because the specification does not discloses at least one method for making and using the claimed invention that bears a reasonable correlation to the entire scope of the claim.

In addition, a conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the above factors, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557,1562, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,627

Art Unit: 2822

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-

0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

MG May 9, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5